Magnetic resonance imaging characteristics of intramuscular lipomas

Características de lipomas intramusculares em imagem de ressonância magnética

Ivan Chernev^I, Nadege Petit-Clair^{II}

MD. Clinical Assistant Professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine, Lewisburg, WV, USA. Attending Physician in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Department of Medicine, Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Beckley, WV, USA.

^{II}Medical Student, Avalon University School of Medicine, Youngstown, OH, USA.

Dear Editor,

Intramuscular lipoma is a deep-seated soft tissue lipoma that arises in muscle tissue, and is benign and relatively rare. Although multiple reports exist regarding intramuscular lipomas, their clinical and imaging characteristics are still not very well-known by clinicians. In general, we believe that there are three subtypes of intramuscular lipomas, which can define some of their imaging differences. These subtypes are infiltrative, well-defined/encapsulated and mixed, or partially well-defined/partially encapsulated (with areas of infiltration and well-defined areas).¹ In the well-circumscribed subtype, the fatty tissue is clearly delineated from the surrounding muscle tissue, whereas in the infiltrative subtype the fatty tissue infiltrates the muscle fibers. The magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings do not always correspond to the histological findings in the infiltrative subtype, as some intramuscular lipomas may be minimally infiltrative at the margins and this can only be seen microscopically. When investigated, intramuscular lipomas such as intermuscular lipomas or even superficial lipomas. Specifically, the MRI characteristics of different subtypes of lipomas may differ, which may create confusion among clinicians.

Recently, we read the very interesting article by Balabram et al. named "Intramuscular lipoma of the subscapularis muscle" published in the *Sao Paulo Medical Journal*.² In that article, the authors briefly discussed some of the MRI characteristics of intramuscular lipomas, referencing several articles. We would like to point out that none of the referenced studies investigated the specific MRI characteristics of intramuscular lipomas. There are relatively few studies that exclusively distinguish the MRI characteristics of intramuscular lipomas.^{3,4} In addition, we are not aware of any studies directly comparing the MRI characteristics of intramuscular lipomas. Knowing these characteristics may help in the diagnosis and in planning the surgical procedure. In this letter to the editor, we would like to summarize the MRI characteristics of intramuscular lipomas from the available literature.

On MRI, intramuscular lipomas are round or fusiform with occasional dumbbell-shaped masses. In contrast, well-differentiated liposarcomas have oblong or oval shape, with the dumbbell shape relatively frequent and crescent-shaped and fusiform masses less common. Intramuscular lipomas may vary between less than 3 cm and more than 15 cm. In general, well-differentiated liposarcomas are larger but the size alone is not significant enough to differentiate between them.³ Furthermore, intramuscular lipomas tend to be larger than the conventional subcutaneous lipomas.

Although some intramuscular lipomas (well-defined and marginal infiltration lipomas) may be composed of homogenously pure fatty tissue similar to superficial lipomas, heterogeneity due to intermingled fat and muscle fibers is very common and is not a sign of malignancy. Interdigitation with skeletal muscle, creating a very typical striated appearance, is characteristic of intramuscular lipomas. This feature has not been described in well-differentiated liposarcomas and allows confident diagnosis of intramuscular lipoma. Well-differentiated liposarcomas are also heterogeneous. While tumor tissue other than muscle fibers exists in liposarcomas, the tumor tissue can easily be distinguished from muscle fibers, since the latter maintain their original structure and are usually isointense with normal muscle on both T1- and T2-weighted images. Nodular and patchy signal intensities different from fat (non-adipose components) have been commonly noted in well-differentiated liposarcomas. Entrapped muscle fibers (intralesional muscle fibers) have been reported in some cases of well-differentiated liposarcomas. However, this matter is still controversial and requires more research in order to define the significance of this finding on MRI.⁴⁻⁶

Intramuscular lipomas may present with well-defined or irregular margins, or both. Completely irregular margins are a feature of intramuscular lipoma rather than well-differentiated liposarcomas.⁷ A capsule is rarely recorded in intramuscular lipomas and sometimes it may only be at the outer margin and not at the margin in the muscle. Furthermore, well-differentiated liposarcomas are commonly well-defined and capsulated and tend to grow expansively rather than infiltratively.

Most intramuscular lipomas are unilobular, however bilobular lipomas have been described as well.^{3,8} In comparison, the majority of well-differentiated liposarcomas are multilobular.

Variable thicknesses of streaky structures (septa) have been observed inside the lesions and between the lobes (if bilobular) in the majority of patients with intramuscular lipomas. Thick linear structures within the nodules, which have been recognized not only in well-differentiated liposarcomas but also in intramuscular lipoma, may not be pathognomonic.³ However, we believe that thicker linear structures are more common in well-differentiated liposarcomas.

Mild enhancement of the mass and streaky structures in intramuscular lipomas after contrast material administration can be observed occasionally. This is in contrast to well-differentiated liposarcomas, where the septa and globular areas of the tumor tend to be enhanced more prominently.

In conclusion, a single MRI characteristic of intramuscular lipomas may not be sufficient for the diagnosis. However, considering all MRI features together and careful examination of the images may often afford almost pathognomonic evidence and help in selecting appropriate surgery. Future studies should directly compare MRI features of intramuscular lipomas with deep-seated well-differentiated liposarcomas, with additional histological and cytogenetic correlations for better accuracy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chernev I. Intramuscular lipoma: infiltrating vs. well-circumscribed variant. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;17:170.
- Balabram D, Cabral CC, Filho Ode P, de Barros CP. Intramuscular lipoma of the subscapularis muscle. Sao Paulo Med J. 2014;132(1):65-7.
- Matsumoto K, Hukuda S, Ishizawa M, Chano T, Okabe H. MRI findings in intramuscular lipomas. Skeletal Radiol. 1999;28(3):145-52.

- Nishida J, Morita T, Ogose A, et al. Imaging characteristics of deepseated lipomatous tumors: intramuscular lipoma, intermuscular lipoma, and lipoma-like liposarcoma. J Orthop Sci. 2007;12(6):533-41.
- Donato M, Vanel D, Alberghini M, Mercuri M. Muscle fibers inside a fat tumor: a non-specific imaging finding of benignancy. Eur J Radiol. 2009;72(1):27-9.
- Hosono M, Kobayashi H, Fujimoto R, et al. Septum-like structures in lipoma and liposarcoma: MR imaging and pathologic correlation. Skeletal Radiol. 1997;26(3):150-4.
- Ohguri T, Aoki T, Hisaoka M, et al. Differential diagnosis of benign peripheral lipoma from well-differentiated liposarcoma on MR imaging: Is comparison of margins and internal characteristics useful? AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003;180(6):1689-94.
- Chernev I, Tingey S. Thenar intramuscular lipoma: a case report. Journal of Medical Cases. 2013;4(10):676-78. Available from: http:// www.journalmc.org/index.php/JMC/article/view/1474/854. Accessed in 2014 (May 30).

Sources of funding: None Conflict of interest: None

Date of first submission: March 29, 2014 Last received: July 4, 2014 Accepted: July 11, 2014

Address for correspondence:

Ivan Chernev ARH Southern WV Clinic 250 Stanaford Road, Beckley, WV, 25801, USA Tel. 304-254-2646, 304-254-2650 Fax. 304-254-2794 Mobile phone: 617-302-4480 E-mail: ivantchernev@yahoo.com

RESPONSE TO LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Débora Balabram^{III}, Carla Cristina de Sousa Resende Cabral^{IV}, Omar de Paula Ricardo Filho^V, Cristóvão Pinheiro de Barros^{VI}

 MD, PhD. Breast Surgeon, Instituto da Previdência dos Servidores do Estado de Minas Gerais (IPSEMG), Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.
MD. Radiologist, Serviço de Radiologia e Ultrassonografia de Minas Gerais (Sermiq), Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

^vMD. Pathologist, Laboratory of Anatomical and Diagnostic Pathology, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

^{vi}MD. Breast Surgeon, Instituto da Previdência dos Servidores do Estado de Minas Gerais (IPSEMG), Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

We thank you for further describing the specific characteristics of deep-seated intramuscular lipomas and citing references not present in our article,^{1,2} entitled "Intramuscular lipoma of the subscapularis mucle".³ However, we would like to point out that the main goal of our case report was to describe the unusual presentation of a deep-seated lipoma as an axillary mass. Since the patient was 58-year-old at the time of presentation, it was important to rule out metastatic breast cancer as well as other malignant diseases.^{4,5} Using the search strategy for clinical cases described in our article,³ we did not find similar clinical presentations of intramuscular lipomas in the literature (PubMed, Lilacs and Embase). We also did not come across descriptions of any lipomas inside the subscapularis muscle.

REFERENCES

- 1. Matsumoto K, Hukuda S, Ishizawa M, Chano T, Okabe H. MRI findings in intramuscular lipomas. Skeletal Radiol. 1999;28(3):145-52.
- Nishida J, Morita T, Ogose A, et al. Imaging characteristics of deepseated lipomatous tumors: intramuscular lipoma, intermuscular lipoma, and lipoma-like liposarcoma. J Orthop Sci. 2007;12(6):533-41.
- Balabram D, Cabral CC, Filho Ode P, de Barros CP. Intramuscular lipoma of the subscapularis muscle. Sao Paulo Med J. 2014;132(1):65-7.
- de Andrade JM, Marana HR, Sarmento Filho JM, et al. Differential diagnosis of axillary masses. Tumori. 1996;82(6):596-9.
- 5. Park YM, Park JS, Yoon HK, Yang WT. Imaging-pathologic correlation of diseases in the axilla. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2013;200(2):W130-42.