# Frequency of skin diseases in renal transplant recipients and patients with chronic kidney disease in a tertiary center: a cross-sectional study

Érica Cristina Vieira<sup>I</sup>, Milena Soriano Marcolino<sup>II</sup>, Antônio Carlos Martins Guedes<sup>III</sup>, Mônica Maria Moreira Delgado Maciel<sup>IV</sup>, Wandilza Fátima dos Santos<sup>V</sup>, Luciana Consoli Fernandes Pimentel<sup>VI</sup>, Paulo Rodrigues Gomes<sup>VII</sup>, Anita Bressan<sup>VIII</sup>, Kátia de Paula Farah<sup>IX</sup>, Marcelo Grossi Araújo<sup>X</sup>

Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

MD, Masters Student. Dermatologist, Attending physician, Dermatology Outpatient Clinic, Hospital das Clinicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais / Empresa Brasileira de Serviços Hospitalares (UFMG/EBSERH) Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

Thttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-2188-1225

MD, PhD. Associate Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil; Coordinator of Scientific Division, Telehealth Network of Minas Gerais, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

"MD, PhD. Dermatologist. Attending Physician, Dermatology Outpatient Clinic Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5856-6793

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4278-3771

MD. Nephrologist, Attending physician. Instituto Mineiro de Nefrologia, Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3884-9507

VMD. Dermatologist, Attending physician, Dermatology Outpatient Clinic, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6569-5374

<sup>™</sup>MD. Dermatologist, Attending physician, Dermatology Outpatient Clinic, Hospital das Clinicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG). Belo Horizonte (MG). Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5066-8138

vilMasters Student. Analyst Programmer, Telehealth Network of Minas Gerais, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7949-1812

Will Medical Student, Medical School, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (LIFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6289-536X

PhD. Associate Professor Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil Nephrology Outpatient Clinic, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8978-4512

\*PhD. Associate Professor, Medical School, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil; Leprosy Clinic Coordinator. Dermatology Outpatient Clinic, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1483-3818

#### KEYWORDS (MeSH terms):

Skin diseases.
Kidney transplantation.
Chronic renal insufficiency.
Immunosuppressive agents.
Basal cell carcinoma.
Squamous cell carcinoma.

# AUTHOR KEYWORDS:

Dermatosis.
Non-melanoma skin cancer
Renal transplant.
Immunosupression.
Kidney failure.

#### ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND:** The prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) has increased in the recent decades, along with the number of patients in the terminal stages of this disease, requiring transplantation. Some skin disorders are more frequent in patients with CKD and in renal transplant recipients (RTR).

**OBJECTIVES:** To evaluate the frequency of skin diseases in RTR and patients with CKD receiving conservative treatment.

**DESIGN AND SETTING:** This observational cross-sectional study recruited consecutive patients with CKD and RTR from a nephrology clinic at a teaching hospital in Brazil between 2015 and 2020.

**METHODS:** Quantitative, descriptive, and analytical approaches were used. The sample was selected based on convenience sampling. Data were collected from dermatological visits and participants' medical records.

**RESULTS:** Overall, 308 participants were included: 206 RTR (66.9%, median age: 48 years, interquartile range [IQR] 38.0–56.0, 63.6% men) and 102 patients with CKD (33.1%, median age: 61.0 years, IQR 50.0–71.2, 48% men). The frequency of infectious skin diseases (39.3% vs. 21.6% P = 0.002) were higher in RTR than in patients with CKD. Neoplastic skin lesions were present in nine (4.4%) RTR and in only one (1.0%) patient with CKD. Among the RTR, the ratio of basal cell carcinoma to squamous cell carcinoma was 2:1. **CONCLUSIONS:** This study revealed that an increased frequency of infectious skin diseases may be expected in patients who have undergone kidney transplantation. Among skin cancers, BCC is more frequently observed in RTR, especially in those using azathioprine.

## INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a significant global public health problem with a major socioeconomic impact.  $^{1,2}$  Its worldwide prevalence is estimated at 10-16%;  $^{1,3,4}$  additionally, its prevalence has increased in the recent decades, along with the number of patients with terminal CKD requiring transplantation,  $^{3,6}$  mostly due to the increase in the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus.  $^{4,5}$ 

Patients with CKD are prone to skin abnormalities.<sup>7,8</sup> These manifestations are often associated with impaired renal function and are more prevalent in end-stage disease,<sup>7,8</sup> when the kidneys are unable to maintain appropriate levels of metabolic products, such as urea, creatinine, sodium, calcium, and phosphate, causing damage to several organs, including the skin.<sup>9</sup>

Kidney transplantation is the best treatment for patients with end-stage CKD;<sup>3,10</sup> however, the immunosuppression required to maintain the graft can lead to various side effects and a greater susceptibility to infectious and neoplastic diseases.<sup>11</sup> Besides immunosuppression itself, the mechanisms of action of immunosuppressive drugs and viral infections (oncogenic viruses) are associated with cutaneous disorders in renal transplant recipients (RTR).<sup>12,13</sup>

There is evidence that dermatological diseases affect the quality of life of patients with CKD<sup>14</sup> and individuals who have undergone kidney transplantation.<sup>15</sup> Several transplant centers do not have a dermatologist working with the transplant team, and dermatological abnormalities are often underdiagnosed and undertreated.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, further research

on the prevalence and presentation of skin diseases in solid organ transplant recipients and patients with CKD is essential. The prevalence and presentation of skin diseases is likely to vary in different regions of the world according to patient genetics, skin phototype, hygiene habits, sun exposure, immunosuppressive medications used, climate, and the prevalence of infectious agents.

#### **OBJECTIVE**

This study aimed to evaluate the prevalence of dermatological abnormalities in patients with CKD receiving conservative treatment and in RTR treated at a tertiary academic center in southeastern Brazil.

#### **METHODS**

# Data source and study participants

For this observational and cross-sectional study, consecutive patients treated between 2015 and 2020 were recruited from a reference center for nephrology and kidney transplantation at a Brazilian academic hospital. The sample was obtained through convenience sampling by inviting consecutive patients who were treated at the nephrology and kidney transplantation outpatient clinics of the hospital.

The eligibility criteria were: RTR regardless of the time elapsed since transplantation, patients diagnosed with CKD (defined as individuals with glomerular filtration rate [GFR] < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² for at least 3 months) receiving conservative treatment, or patients with GFR  $\geq$  60 mL/min/1.73 m² associated with markers of kidney damage or structural abnormalities detected by imaging; only patients  $\geq$ 18 years of age were considered.  $^{16}$  Patients living with HIV and patients with CKD taking prednisone at  $\geq$ 5 mg/day or taking other immunosuppressants were excluded from the study. The equation developed by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration group (CKD-EPI) was used to calculate the GFR.  $^{17}$  CKD was classified into five stages according to the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative of the National Kidney Foundation (KDOQI/NKF) classification.  $^{18}$ 

All patients underwent standard screening according to a previously established protocol to provide a solid, standardized assessment that included a dermatological perspective and variables of interest for kidney transplant/disease. Evaluation, diagnosis, treatment of skin diseases, biopsies, and direct mycological examinations were performed by attending dermatology physicians at the teaching hospital.

# Outcome measurements and group and subgroup analysis

The following independent variables were collected: age, sex, Fitzpatrick skin phototype, eye color, alcohol and tobacco use, presence of CKD, history of kidney transplantation, kidney function (estimated from the creatinine level or proteinuria), underlying disease that led to kidney transplantation, underlying disease that caused CKD, comorbidities, personal or family history of skin cancer, regular use of sunscreen, and previous sun exposure at work. The level of sun exposure considered was the highest exposure during the workday. Sunscreen application at least once per day was considered regular. Immunosuppressants were collected from in the RTR groups' medical records.

The outcomes of interest were dermatological complaints and diagnoses of cutaneous disease. The skin diseases were divided into four groups: benign non-infectious, infectious (viral, bacterial, or fungal), preneoplastic, and neoplastic.

## Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and proportions, and continuous variables were expressed as medians and interquartile ranges. As we expected, there was an age difference between groups (RTR and CKD) and the prevalence of skin manifestations was affected by age; thus, the patients were stratified by age into three categories: 18–39 years, 40–59 years, and 60+ years. The Pearson's chi-square test or the Fisher's exact test was performed to determine the association between qualitative variables, and age groups/subgroups were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. The statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS) v. 18.0 software for Windows (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

## **Ethics**

The study protocol was approved by the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais Ethics Review Board (CAAE process number 38071114.8.0000.5149) on December 9<sup>th</sup>, 2014. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

# **RESULTS**

## **Patient characteristics**

Overall, 308 participants met the inclusion criteria for the study: 206 (66.9%) RTR and 102 (33.1%) patients with CKD receiving conservative treatment. The median age of the participants in the RTR group was 48.0 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 38.0–56.0), and 63.6% were men. When this group was stratified by age, most participants were in the subgroup of age 40–59 years (54.9%). The median age of the CKD group was 61.0 years (IQR: 50.0–71.0) and 48.0% were men (Table 1). Most patients in this group (54.9%) were 60+ years old. The demographic and clinical characteristics of both the groups are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics of renal transplant recipients and chronic kidney disease patients (n = 308)

	RTR	CKD	Р
Age (years)	<b>n = 206</b> 48.0 (38.0–56.0)	n = <b>02</b> 61.0 (50.0–71.0)	< 0.001°
18–39			< 0.001
	59 (28.6%)	11 (10.8%)	
40–59	113 (54.9%)	35 (34.3%)	
60+ Mars	34 (16.5%)	56 (54.9%)	
Men	131 (63.6%)	49 (48.0%)	4 0 001h
(idney disease etiology	12 (6 20/)	7 (6 00()	< 0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Hypertensive nephropathy	13 (6.3%)	7 (6.9%)	
Diabetes	14 (6.8%)	26 (25.5%)	
Glomerulopathy	55 (26.7%)	24 (23.5%)	
Polycystic kidney disease	10 (4.9%)	3 (2.9%)	
Genetic disease	9 (4.4%)	0	
Unknown	79 (38.3%)	32 (31.4%)	
Other	26 (12.6%)	10 (9.8%)	
Comorbidities			
Diabetes			< 0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Type 1 diabetes	12 (5.8%)	3 (2.9%)	
Type 2 diabetes	11 (5.3%)	22 (21.6%)	
Post-transplant diabetes	35 (17.0%)	0	
Hypertension	122 (59.2%)	74 (72.5%)	0.024ª
CAD	7 (3.4%)	12 (11.8%)	0.10 <sup>a</sup>
Heart failure	3 (1.5%)	5 (4.9%)	0.121a
Obesity	5 (2.4%)	9 (8.8%)	0.018 <sup>a</sup>
Hyperuricemia	25 (12.1%)	5 (4.9%)	0.064ª
:KD stages*			0.001 <sup>b</sup>
1	31 (15.0%)	9 (8.8%)	
2	87 (42.2%)	11 (10.8%)	
3A	32 (15.5%)	26 (25.5%)	
3B	30 (14.6%)	33 (32.4%)	
4	20 (9.7%)	21 (20.6%)	
5	6 (2.9%)	2 (2.0%)	
iFR**	64.2 (43.9–82.3)	44.1 (31.2–56.3)	0.000a
kin phototype	(	, (- · · - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.286 <sup>b</sup>
1	4 (1.9%)	0	
II	25 (12.1%)	6 (5.9%)	
 III	86 (41.7%)	44 (43.1%)	
IV	53 (25.7%)	33 (32.4%)	
V	29 (14.1%)	16 (15.7%)	
VI	9 (4.4%)	3 (2.9%)	
obacco use	16 (7.8%)	20 (19.6%)	0.004ª
slcohol use	13 (6.3%)	12 (11.8%)	0.121 <sup>a</sup>
un exposure at work	15 (0.570)	12 (11.070)	0.121°
< 1 hour/day	139 (67.5%)	70 (68.6%)	0.097
•			
≥ 1 hour/day	67 (32.5%)	32 (31.4%)	. 0.0043
Daily sunscreen use	59 (28.6%)	11 (10.8%)	< 0.001ª
Personal history of skin cancer	13 (6.3%)	4 (3.9%)	0.442ª
Dermatological complaints	129 (62.6%)	60 (58.8%)	0.536ª

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (percentage). \*Fisher's exact test; \*Pearson chi-square test; \*Mann–Whitney test; RTR = renal transplant recipients; CKD = chronic kidney disease; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; CAD = coronary artery disease. \* According to The Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative of the National Kidney Foundation (KDOQI/NKF). \*\* Calculated according to the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration group (CKD\_EPI equation). \*\* The Collaboration group grou

Among RTR, the median time between transplant and the first dermatology visit was 99.9 months (IQR: 55.4–164.7; range: 2.0–482.0). Most of the transplant patients (45.6%) were three to 10 years post-transplant. In terms of the drug treatment, 22.3%

of patients in this group were using or had already used azathioprine, 81.5% of patients were using or had used mycophenolate salts (mycophenolate sodium or mycophenolate mofetil), 88.3% of patients were using or had used calcineurin inhibitors (cyclosporine or tacrolimus), and 30.6% of patients were using or had used mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors, namely sirolimus and everolimus.

# Skin disease diagnosis

Skin disorders were divided into four groups: benign, infectious, preneoplastic, and neoplastic. Non-infectious benign dermatoses were the most frequent disorders in both groups (53.9% in RTR and 60.8% in the CKD group) (**Table 2**). Pigmentation disorders were the most prevalent in the RTR group (11.2%), followed by adverse drug reactions (6.3%) and acne (5.8%). Among patients with CKD, the most frequent diagnoses in this category were pigmentation disorder (16.7%) and xerosis (4.9%) (**Table 2**). Adverse drug reactions were more prevalent in RTR than the CKD group (6.3% vs. 1.0%; P = 0.040) (**Table 2**).

Infectious skin diseases accounted for 39.3% of the diagnoses in the RTR group and 21.6% in the CKD group (P = 0.002). The most frequent ones were, among RTR, dermatophytosis (19.4%) and HPV-related diseases (10.2%), whereas, in patients with CKD,

**Table 2.** Dermatological diseases found in renal transplant recipients and chronic kidney disease patients

	RTR n = 206	CKD n = 102	Р
* Benign	111 (53.9%)	62 (60.8%)	0.273ª
Xerosis	9 (4.4%)	5 (4.9%)	$0.780^{a}$
Pigmentation disorder	23 (11.2%)	17 (16.7%)	0.208ª
Sebaceous hyperplasia	9 (4.4%)	0	$0.032^{a}$
Acne	12 (5.8%)	1 (1.0%)	0.067ª
Adverse drug reaction	13 (6.3%)	1 (1.0%)	0.040a
Other benign disorders	64 (31.1%)	43 (42.2%)	0.058ª
* Infectious	81 (39.3%)	22 (21.6%)	0.002a
Bacterial			
Bacterial folliculitis	3 (1.5%)	0	0.553ª
Impetigo	1 (0.5%)	0	1ª
Viral			
Genital herpes	1 (0.5%)	0	1ª
Herpes simplex	2 (1.0%)	0	1ª
HPV	21 (10.2%)	2 (2.0%)	0.010 <sup>a</sup>
Molluscum contagiosum	3 (1.5%)	0	0.553ª
Fungal			
Pityriasis versicolor	17 (8.3%)	1 (1.0%)	$0.009^{a}$
Candidiasis	8 (3.9%)	5 (4.9%)	0.765ª
Dermatophytosis	40 (19.4%)	15 (14.7%)	0.346ª
Systemic mycosis	1 (0.5%)	0	1ª
Pre-neoplastic	21 (10.2%)	8 (7.8%)	0.679ª
* Neoplastic	9 (4.4%)	1 (1%)	0.174ª
Squamous cell carcinoma	3 (1.5%)	0	0.553ª
Basal cell carcinoma	6 (2.9%)	1 (1.0%)	0.432a

RTR, renal transplant recipients; CKD, chronic kidney disease; HPV, human papillomavirus; <sup>a</sup> Fisher's exact test; preneoplastic (actinic keratosis); \*Because some patients had more than one type of dermatosis, the numbers for each condition may not add up to the sum for each disease subtype.

dermatophytosis (14.7%) and candidiasis (4.9%) were prevalent. HPV-related diseases (10.2% vs. 2.0%, P = 0.01) and pityriasis versicolor (8.3% vs. 1.0%, P = 0.009) were more frequent in RTR than in patients with CKD.

The identified benign dermatological diseases are presented in terms of age subgroups in **Table 3**. Sebaceous hyperplasia was found only in the RTR group (in the subgroups of age: 18–39 years and 40–59 years), while adverse drug reactions were found in all RTR age subgroups, with a small number of cases and only one case in the CKD group (in the 60+ years subgroup).

A few positive cases of two bacterial diseases (impetigo and bacterial folliculitis) were found in the study population, all in the RTR group. In the 60+ years, HPV-related diseases were more prevalent in the RTR than in the CKD group (23.5% vs. 3.6%; P=0.005) (**Table 3**). Other viral diseases, such as genital herpes, herpes simplex, and molluscum contagiosum are shown in **Table 3**.

Dermatophytosis was the most prevalent fungal disease, predominating in the RTR group over the CKD population, especially in the 60+ age subgroup (32.4% vs. 23.2%, respectively), but without statistical significance (**Table 3**). One RTR patient presented with systemic mycosis (paracoccidioid mycosis) with mucocutaneous, lymph node, and pulmonary involvement.

Actinic keratosis was more predominant in the 60+ age subgroup compared to the other age groups, with a greater prevalence in the RTR group than the CKD group (29.4% vs. 12.5%), but without statistical significance (**Table 3**).

Neoplastic skin lesions were present in nine (4.4%) transplanted patients and only one (1.0%) subject in the CKD group (**Table 2**). Eighteen non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) lesions were found in nine patients, and one was observed in a patient with CKD (**Table 2**). One of these nine transplant recipients presented with ten basal cell carcinomas (BCC) at the first dermatology visit (**Figure 1**), while the other cases involved one lesion per patient. All nine RTR with NMSC received their transplants at least four years prior to the skin cancer, and six (66.6%) of these patients already had a history of skin cancer.

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) was found in 5.9% of individuals in the 60+ age subgroup in the RTR group and not found in the CKD group in this age range; the frequency of BCC was 4.4% in the RTR group compared to 2.9% in the CKD group among patients in the age range of 40–59 years (**Table 3**).

In terms of immunosuppressive drugs, of the 21 RTR patients with HPV, nine (42.9%) patients were using or had used azathioprine (P = 0.026) (**Table 4**). Of the 17 RTR who presented with pityriasis versicolor, 17 (100%) patients were using or had previously used mycophenolate salts (P = 0.047). Of the nine NMSC patients, six (66.7%) patients were using or had used azathioprine (P = 0.005) (**Table 4**).

Table 3. Diagnosis of skin disorders in renal transplant recipients and chronic kidney disease patients, stratified by age

	18-39 years old			40	40-59 years old			60+ years old		
		(n = 70)			(n = 148)			(n = 90)		
	RTR n = 59	CKD n = 11	P-value	RTR n = 113	CKD n = 35	P-value	RTR n = 34	CKD n = 56	P-value	
Benign skin diseases										
Xerosis	1 (1.7%)	0	1ª	6 (5.3%)	2 (5.7%)	1ª	2 (5.9%)	3 (5.4%)	1ª	
Pigmentation disorder	7 (11.9%)	3 (27.3%)	0.186ª	14 (12.4%)	6 (17.1%)	0.571a	2 (5.9%)	8 (14.3%)	0.308ª	
Sebaceous hyperplasia	1 (1.7%)	0	1ª	8 (7.1%)	0	0.199ª	0	0		
Acne	8 (13.6%)	1 (9.1%)	1ª	4 (3.5%)	0		0	0		
Adverse drug reaction	3 (5.0%)	0	1ª	7 (6.2%)	0	0.199ª	3 (8.8%)	1 (1.8%)	0.149 <sup>a</sup>	
Other benign disorders	15 (25.4%)	4 (36.4%)	0.474a	35 (31.0%)	14 (40.0%)	0.411ª	14 (41.2%)	25 (44.6%)	0.828a	
Bacterial skin diseases										
Bacterial folliculitis	1 (1.7%)	0	1ª	2 (1.8%)	0	1ª	0	0		
Impetigo	0	0		1 (0.9%)	0	1ª	0	0		
Viral skin diseases										
Genital herpes	0	0		0	0		1 (2.9%)	0	0.378ª	
Herpes simplex	1 (1.7%)	0	1ª	1 (0.9%)	0	1ª	0	0		
HPV	4 (6.8%)	0	1ª	9 (8.0%)	0	0.116ª	8 (23.5%)	2 (3.6%)	$0.005^{a}$	
Molluscum contagiosum	2 (3.4%)	0	1ª	1 (0.9%)	0	1ª	0	0		
Fungal skin diseases										
Pityriasis versicolor	8 (13.6%)	0	0.340a	7 (6.2%)	1 (2.9%)	0.681ª	2 (5.9%)	0	0.140 <sup>a</sup>	
Candidiasis	1 (1.7%)	0	1ª	6 (5.3%)	3 (8.6%)	0.442a	1 (2.9%)	2 (3.6%)	1ª	
Dermatophytosis	8 (13.6%)	0	0.340a	21 (18.6%)	2 (5.7%)	0.106ª	11 (32.4%)	13 (23.2%)	0.461ª	
Systemic mycosis	0	0		0	0		1	0	0.378ª	
Pre-neoplastic and neoplastic	skin diseases									
Actinic keratosis	1 (1.7%)	0	1ª	10 (8.8%)	1 (2.9%)	0.460ª	10 (29.4%)	7 (12.5%)	0.057ª	
SCC	0	0		1 (0.9%)	0	1ª	2 (5.9%)	0	0.140a	
BCC	0	0		5 (4.4%)	1 (2.9%)	1ª	1 (2.9%)	0	0.378ª	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Fisher's exact test; RTR, renal transplant recipient; CKD, chronic kidney disease; HPV, human papillomavirus; BCC, basal cell carcinoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.



**Figure 1.** Renal transplant recipient presenting 10 basal cell carcinoma lesions at dermatology visit. Photo credit: HC/ UFMG-EBSERH Dermatology Service

Among patients with CKD, no statistically significant association was found between the CKD stage and diagnosed skin diseases.

# **DISCUSSION**

This study investigated patients with CKD and RTR: two populations with a similar distribution of skin phototypes, but distinct demographic profiles and kidney disorders. Patients with CKD were older, had a higher frequency of comorbidities and smoking, and had lower glomerular filtration rates than RTR. In contrast, RTR had a higher frequency of regular sunscreen use than patients with CKD. It is likely that nephrologists may be less persuasive about sun protection measures in patients with CKD than in individuals who have received organ transplants. However, daily sunscreen use is insufficient for adequate protection, and reapplication is necessary every three hours. Additional physical protection measures, such as ultraviolet (UV)-protective clothing, hats, sunglasses, and shade, are simple and effective ways to protect individuals from UV radiation and preventing NMSC. 31

Table 4. Current and previous use of immunosuppressive drugs and dermatological diseases in renal transplant recipients (n = 206)

Immunocumnyoccivo	NMSC			Human papillomavirus			Pityriasis versicolor		
Immunosuppressive drugs*	Present	Absent	P-value	Present	Absent	P-value	Present	Absent	P-value
	(n = 9)	(n = 197)		(n = 21)	(n = 185)		(n = 17)	(n = 189)	
Azathioprine	6 (66.7%)	40 (20.3%)	$0.005^{a}$	9 (42.9%)	37 (20.0%)	$0.026^{a}$	2 (11.8%)	44 (23.3%)	0.372a
Mycophenolate salts	5 (55.6%)	163 (82.7%)	0.062ª	15 (71.4%)	153 (82.7%)	0.234ª	17 (100%)	151 (79.9%)	0.047a
Calcineurin inhibitors	8 (88.9%)	174 (88.3%)	1ª	17 (81.0%)	165 (89.2%)	$0.279^{a}$	17 (100%)	165 (87.3%)	0.230a
mTOR inhibitors	3 (33.3%)	60 (30.5%)	1 <sup>a</sup>	5 (23.8%)	58 (31.4%)	0.620a	4 (23.5%)	59 (31.2%)	0.594ª

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Fisher's exact test; NMSC = non-melanoma skin cancer; mTOR inhibitors = mammalian target of rapamycin. \* Current or previous use was considered.

The frequency of skin infections observed in our population was lower than that in other studies. <sup>15,34,35</sup> Skin infections predominated within the first 3–4 years after transplantation, <sup>28</sup> and more than 3 years had elapsed after transplantation in 84.9% of our RTR sample. There is evidence that increased susceptibility to bacterial, fungal, and viral cutaneous infections in patients with CKD varies between 28 and 70%. <sup>7</sup> Patients with CKD have impaired cellular immunity due to a decreased T lymphocyte cell count, which could explain the high prevalence of infection in those patients. <sup>36</sup> However, the literature on cutaneous infections in individuals with CKD is sparse.

HPV-related skin disease was the most common viral infection, and its frequency was higher in RTR than the CKD group (10.2% vs. 2.0%), in line with previous studies, as a result of chronic immunosuppression.<sup>29,37</sup> Previous research found that 15% of patients present with cutaneous viral warts during the first year after renal transplant, and that this rate reached 92% after a period of 15 years.<sup>38</sup> In approximately 60% of our sample, ten years had not passed since transplantation. In elderly patients, the difference in HPV among RTR and patients with CKD was remarkable (23.5% vs. 3.6%, P = 0.005), which can be partially explained by the persistence of HPV in old age.<sup>37</sup> Furthermore, the age subgroup of 60+ years had proportionally more individuals (50%) at least 120 months post-transplantation, implying a longer period of immunosuppression and increased time of HPV persistence. Despite being a viral skin disease of concern owing to its oncogenic potential to increase the risk of SCC in immunosuppressed patients, 12,29 as it facilitates the accumulation of DNA mutations induced by UV radiation,<sup>39</sup> none of the three patients with SCC in our study had HPV-related disease.

Renal transplant recipients also have a higher frequency of infectious skin diseases, pityriasis versicolor, sebaceous hyperplasia, and adverse drug reactions than patients with CKD. In terms of bacterial skin diseases, only impetigo and folliculitis were diagnosed in the RTR, probably because of the low number of participants in our sample. Moreover, bacterial infections are more prevalent during the first years after transplantation (only 15% of our sample was less than three years post-transplantation), and acute and benign diseases are often treated by an assistant physician without

a referral to a dermatologist. No bacterial skin disease was diagnosed in the CKD group.

In terms of immunosuppressant use, NMSC and HPV infections were more frequent in RTR who received azathioprine. Pityriasis versicolor was observed to be associated with the use of mycophenolate salts in RTR. No association was found between the CKD stage and skin disorders. Immunosuppressive agents play important roles in the development of dermatological diseases. With regard to skin cancer in RTR, these drugs have direct carcinogenic action and reduce immunological surveillance. Azathioprine and cyclosporine may directly or indirectly interact with UV radiation to enhance its carcinogenic effects. A higher prevalence of azathioprine use was observed in RTR with NMSC compared to RTR without skin cancer (P = 0.005). Other immunosuppressants were not associated with skin cancer in the present study.

As for immunosuppressive agents and infectious dermatoses, of the 21 RTR with HPV, 42.9% used azathioprine; among the RTR without a diagnosis of HPV, only 20% used the same drug (P = 0.026). This is corroborated by a Brazilian study in which patients who used this drug had a higher incidence of viral warts.<sup>23</sup> The use of mycophenolate salts was more frequent in patients with pityriasis versicolor than in those who were not treated with this medication (P = 0.047). In the RTR group, 81.5% of patients were using or had already used mycophenolate salts. It was, therefore, by chance that 100% of patients who presented with pityriasis versicolor had used this drug.

BCC was more prevalent than SCC in the RTRs. In the general population, BCC predominates over SCC at a ratio of 4:1.<sup>19</sup> However, this ratio is reversed in solid organ transplants, and becomes more pronounced as more time elapses post-transplantation.<sup>19,20</sup> We found a higher proportion of BCC compared to SCC (2:1) in the RTR group; these findings are consistent with observations by Lima et al.<sup>21</sup> Another Brazilian study and a research on organ transplant recipients in the Mediterranean has also reported similar ratios.<sup>22</sup> The genetic background, higher phototypes, and phenotypic characteristics could be responsible for this phenomenon; however, another Brazilian study by Hayashida et al.<sup>23</sup> found a BCC:SCC ratio of 1:2.4 with a minimum follow-up of three years.<sup>23</sup>

Notably, over the past five years, some follow-up studies have found lower BCC:SCC ratios. <sup>20,24,25</sup> These results can be partially explained by the reduced trend of SCC incidence over the past 20 years in solid organ transplant recipients. <sup>26,27</sup> This decline is likely caused by less aggressive and more individualized immunosuppression therapy. <sup>26</sup>

One of the most important extrinsic factors related to the increased incidence of NMSC is exposure to UV radiation. <sup>19,29</sup> Of the RTR patients with NMSC, 66.6% of patients reported no exposure or up to one hour of sun exposure per day at work. There is evidence that in temperate climates, 35–50% of organ transplant recipients will develop one or more skin cancers by the tenth year after transplantation; this number may increase to more than 80% in countries with higher rates of UV radiation. <sup>30</sup> Regular sun protection is of utmost importance for immunosuppressed patients.

Patients with CKD receiving conservative treatment have demonstrated a higher incidence of kidney and urinary tract cancers than the general population;<sup>32,33</sup> however, the incidence of NMSC is unclear.<sup>32</sup> Wang et al.<sup>32</sup> found that predialysis patients (stage 5 CKD) have a greater risk of developing NMSC than the general population, with a standardized incidence ratio (SIR) of 1.14. In our study, only one patient with CKD had BCC.

The most common fungal infections occurring in RTR are superficial mycoses.<sup>28</sup> Dermatophytosis was the most common mycosis found; however, no difference was observed between RTR and patients with CKD or age subgroups. The prevalence of superficial mycoses in RTR varies in the literature (16–60%), probably in accordance with the study type, length of follow-up, and geographic region.<sup>28,34</sup> Charu<sup>7</sup> found a prevalence of 16.9% and Thomas<sup>36</sup> found a prevalence of 1.01% in patients with CKD.

Among benign diseases, sebaceous hyperplasia was more frequent in RTR than in individuals with CKD. Sebaceous hyperplasia was found only in the RTR group, particularly in the age subgroup of 40–59 years. It is observed as a complication in 30% of patients using cyclosporine, <sup>28</sup> as this drug may be partly eliminated through the sebaceous glands, leading to frequent pilosebaceous lesions. <sup>11</sup>

A few cases of xerosis were observed in either group, with no significant differences. Our findings were lower than the prevalence rates observed by other authors, 50–80% in CKD subjects. The low prevalence observed in the CKD group may be partly explained by the fact that most of our patients with CKD (77.4%) were stage 3B or less; dermatoses, including xerosis, are more prevalent in the later stages of CKD. The subjects of the control of the control

This study had some limitations. Acute dermatoses may have been underestimated owing to the study design, as skin lesions may not have been present on the day of the dermatologist's consultation. The data included in this study refer only to the first consultation, which makes it difficult to accurately characterize the spectrum of diseases presented over time. Finally, this study

was based on a single-center analysis, which limits the generalizability of the results. However, this method has several strengths. Despite being a single-center study, this is a reference center for transplants in the state of Minas Gerais, and all patients underwent detailed assessment by a team of dermatologists with extensive expertise. Additionally, the study assessed patients from a highly miscigenic population in a tropical region.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

This study of patients monitored at a reference center for nephrology and renal transplantation found more skin infections in kidney transplant recipients than in patients with CKD. A multidisciplinary team, including dermatologists, must know how to diagnose, treat, and implement skin disease prevention measures in these populations. Therefore, the skin of these patients should be routinely evaluated to manage dermatological diseases, especially neoplasms.

#### REFERENCES

- Stanifer JW, Muiru A, Jafar TH, Patel UD. Chronic kidney disease in lowand middle-income countries. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2016;31(6):868-74. PMID: 27217391; https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfv466.
- Aguiar LK, Ladeira RM, Machado ÍE, et al. Factors associated with chronic kidney disease, according to laboratory criteria of the National Health Survey. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2020;23:e200101. PMID: 33027436; https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-549720200101.
- Brasil. Diretrizes Clínicas para o Cuidado ao paciente com Doença Renal Crônica – DRC no Sistema Único de Saúde. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2014. Available from: https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/ diretrizes\_clinicas\_cuidado\_paciente\_renal.pdf. Accessed in 2023 (May 8).
- Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL, Masson P. Chronic kidney disease.
   Lancet. 2017;389(10075):1238-52. PMID: 27887750; https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(16)32064-5.
- Alcalde PR, Kirsztajn GM. Expenses of the Brazilian public healthcare system with chronic kidney disease. J Bras Nefrol. 2018;40(2):122-9.
   PMID: 29927463; https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-8239-jbn-3918.
- Associação Brasileira de Transplante de Órgãos. Dimensionamento dos Transplantes no Brasil e em cada estado (2013-2020). Registro Brasileiro de Transplantes. 2020;26(4):1-102. Available from: https://site. abto.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/rbt\_2020\_populacao-1-1. pdf. Accessed in 2023 (May 8).
- Charu B, Anshul V. Dermatological manifestations in patients of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Med Leg Update. 2020;20(4):1275-8. https://doi. org/10.37506/mlu.v20i4.2004.
- Robles-Mendez JC, Vazquez-Martinez O, Ocampo-Candiani J. Skin manifestations of chronic kidney disease. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2015;106(8):609-22. PMID: 26093993; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ad.2015.05.007.

- Lupi O, Rezende L, Zangrando M, et al. Cutaneous manifestations in end-stage renal disease. An Bras Dermatol. 2011;86(2):319-26. PMID: 21603815; https://doi.org/10.1590/s0365-05962011000200015.
- Thongprayoon C, Hansrivijit P, Leeaphorn N, et al. Recent advances and clinical outcomes of kidney transplantation. J Clin Med. 2020;9(4):1193.
   PMID: 32331309; https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9041193.
- Ponticelli C, Glassock RJ. Prevention of complications from use of conventional immunosuppressants: a critical review. J Nephrol. 2019;32(6):851-70. PMID: 30927190; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40620-019-00602-5.
- Magalhães GM, Vieira EC, Garcia LC, et al. Update on human papilloma virus - part l: epidemiology, pathogenesis, and clinical spectrum. An Bras Dermatol. 2021;96(1):1-16. PMID: 33341319; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. abd.2020.11.003.
- Wheless L, Jacks S, Mooneyham Potter KA, Leach BC, Cook J. Skin cancer in organ transplant recipients: more than the immune system.
   J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014;71(2):359-65. PMID: 24725477; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaad.2014.02.039.
- Adejumo OA, Madubuko RC, Olokor AB, Aina OT. Skin changes and dermatological life quality index in chronic kidney disease patients in a tertiary hospital in Southern Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract. 2019;22(2):245-50. PMID: 30729950; https://doi.org/10.4103/njcp.njcp\_270\_18.
- Pereira AR, Porro AM, Seque CA, Pasin VP, Tomimori J. Inpatient dermatology consultations in renal transplant recipients. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2018;109(10):900-7. PMID: 30360884; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ad.2018.07.009.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 Clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int Suppl. 2013;3:1-150. https://doi.org/10.1038/kisup.2012.76.
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009;150(9):604-12. PMID: 19414839; https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-150-9-200905050-00006.
- Levey AS, Coresh J, Balk E, et al. National Kidney Foundation practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Ann Intern Med. 2003;139(2):137-47. PMID: 12859163; https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-139-2-200307150-00013.
- Brin L, Zubair AS, Brewer JD. Optimal management of skin cancer in immunosuppressed patients. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2014;15(4):339-56.
   PMID: 25015705; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-014-0085-5.
- Pinho A, Gouveia M, Cardoso JC, et al. Non-melanoma skin cancer in Portuguese kidney transplant recipients - incidence and risk factors. An Bras Dermatol. 2016;91(4):455-62. PMID: 27579740; https://doi. org/10.1590/abd1806-4841.20164891.
- Lima AM, Rocha SP, Reis Filho EG, Eid DRM, Reis CMS. Study of dermatoses in kidney transplant patients. An Bras Dermatol. 2013;88(3):361-7. PMID: 23793196; https://doi.org/10.1590/abd1806-4841.20131859.
- 22. García JB, Suárez-Varela MM, Vilata JJ, et al. Risk factors for non-melanoma skin cancer in kidney transplant patients in a Spanish population in

- the Mediterranean region. Acta Derm Venereol. 2013;93(4):422-7. PMID: 23303600; https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-1525.
- Hayashida MZ, Fernandes VMC, Fernandes DRM, Ogawa MM, Tomimori
  J. Epidemiology and clinical evolution of non-melanoma skin cancer
  in renal transplant recipients: a single-center experience in São Paulo,
  Brazil. Int J Dermatol. 2015;54(10):e383-8. PMID: 25969871; https://doi.
  org/10.1111/ijd.12632.
- 24. Ilyas M, Ginsberg Z, Temkit M, Keddis M, Sharma A. Prevalence of skin cancer in Native American Kidney transplant recipients. Int J Dermatol. 2018;57(4):406-9. PMID: 29265357; https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.13863.
- Ge L, Chee SN, Robledo KP, Lowe P. Comparison of skin cancers in liver and renal transplant recipients: results of a prospective study in an Australian tertiary referral centre. Australas J Dermatol. 2018;59(4):291-6. PMID: 29292515; https://doi.org/10.1111/ajd.12759.
- Rizvi SMH, Aagnes B, Holdaas H, et al. Long-term change in the risk of skin cancer after organ transplantation: a population-based nationwide cohort study. JAMA Dermatol. 2017;153(12):1270-7. PMID: 29049612; https://doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2017.2984.
- Wisgerhof HC, Wolterbeek R, Fijter JW, Willemze R, Bavinck JNB. Kidney transplant recipients with cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma have an increased risk of internal malignancy. J Invest Dermatol. 2012;132(9):2176-83. PMID: 22534875; https://doi.org/10.1038/ jid.2012.132.
- Naldi L, Venturuzzo A, Invernizzi P. Dermatological Complications After Solid Organ Transplantation. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2018;54(1):185-212. PMID: 29177692; https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-017-8657-9.
- Harwood CA, Toland AE, Proby CM, et al. The pathogenesis of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma in organ transplant recipients. Br J Dermatol. 2017;177(5):1217-24. PMID: 29086420; https://doi.org/10.1111/ bjd.15956.
- Ulrich C, Jürgensen JS, Degen A, et al. Prevention of non-melanoma skin cancer in organ transplant patients by regular use of a sunscreen: a 24 months, prospective, case-control study. Br J Dermatol. 2009;161(Suppl 3):78-84. PMID: 19775361; https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2133.2009.09453.x.
- 31. Li H, Colantonio S, Dawson A, Lin X, Beecker J. Sunscreen application, safety, and sun protection: the evidence. J Cutan Med Surg. 2019;23(4):357-69. PMID: 31219707; https://doi.org/10.1177/1203475419856611.
- 32. Wang CC, Tang CH, Huang SY, Huang KC, Sue YM. Risk of non-melanoma skin cancer in patients with chronic kidney disease and its relationship to uraemic pruritus. Acta Derm Venereol. 2017;97(10):1230-4. PMID: 28795762; https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-2762.
- Malyszko J, Tesarova P, Capasso G, Capasso A. The link between kidney disease and cancer: complications and treatment. Lancet. 2020;396(10246):277-87. PMID: 32711803; https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0140-6736(20)30540-7.
- 34. Oliveira WRP, Tirico MCCP, Souza AAV, et al. Skin lesions in organ transplant recipients: a study of 177 consecutive Brazilian patients. Int J Dermatol. 2019;58(4):440-8. PMID: 30565651; https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.14285.

- 35. Vettorato G, Carvalho AVE, Lecompte SM, et al. Frequência de dermatoses infecciosas em 208 pacientes transplantados renais. An Bras Dermatol. 2003;78(3):283-8. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0365-05962003000300004.
- 36. Thomas EA, Pawar B, Thomas A. A prospective study of cutaneous abnormalities in patients with chronic kidney disease. Indian J Nephrol. 2012;22(2):116-20. PMID: 22787313; https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-4065.97127.
- 37. Nunes EM, Talpe-Nunes V, Sichero L. Epidemiology and biology of cutaneous human papillomavirus. Clinics. 2018;73(Suppl 1):e489s. PMID: 30133564; https://doi.org/10.6061/clinics/2018/e489s.
- 38. Martelli-Marzagão F, Santos Junior GF, Ogawa MM, et al. Human papillomavirus detected in viral warts of renal transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis. 2016;18(1):37-43. PMID: 26540643; https://doi. org/10.1111/tid.12479.
- 39. Sichero L, Rollison DE, Amorrortu RP, Tommasino M. Beta human papillomavirus and associated diseases. Acta Cytol. 2019;63(2):100-8. PMID: 30673666; https://doi.org/10.1159/000492659.

Authors' contributions: Vieira EC: data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), project administration (equal), supervision (equal), writing – original draft (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Marcolino MS: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), writing - original draft (equal), writing - review and editing (equal); Guedes ACM: writing – original draft (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Maciel MMMD: writing – original draft (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Santos WF: writing – original draft (equal), writing - review and editing (equal); Pimentel LCF: writing original draft (equal), writing - review and editing (equal); Gomes PR: writing – original draft (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Bressan A: writing – original draft (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Farah KP: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), writing - original draft (equal), writing - review and editing (equal); Araújo MG: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), writing – original draft (equal), writing - review and editing (equal). All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript submitted for publication.

Sources of funding: This study was supported in part by the Postgraduate Program in Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (Programa de Pós-Graduação em Infectologia e Medicina Tropical – PPGIMT / UFMG), and the Brazilian research agency Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG) though the Pró-Reitoria de Pesquisa da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (edital PIBIC/PROBIC 05/2017). MSM was support in part by National Institute of Science and Technology for

Health Technology Assessment (Instituto de Avaliação de Tecnologias em Saúde – IATS)/ National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico – CNPg) [grant number 465518/2014-1] and CNPg [grant number 310561/2021-3]. The funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of interests: None

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Gabriel de Figueiredo Pereira for supporting data collection and EBSERH for granting ECV the license that allowed her to dedicate herself to her master's degree.

Date of first submission: May 29, 2023 Last received: September 28, 2023 Accepted: November 29, 2023

#### Address for correspondence:

Érica Cristina Vieira

Ambulatório de Dermatologia, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG)

Al. Vereador Álvaro Celso, 55, Santa Efigênia — Belo Horizonte (MG). Brasil

CEP: 30150-260

Tel.: 55 31 3307 9560

E-mail: vieira.erica@gmail.com

#### Editors responsible for the evaluation process:

Paulo Manuel Pêgo-Fernandes, MD, PhD



