Alcohol consumption during pregnancy by women from southern Brazil: a cross-sectional study

Laira Francielle Ferreira Zottis¹, Mateus Arenhardt de Souza¹¹, Jéssica Karine Hartmann¹¹¹, Thiago Kenji Kurogi Gama¹¹, Laís Borges Rizental^v, Anita Machado Maciel^{vi}, Merialine Gresele^{vii}, Ernani Bohrer da Rosa^{viii}, Maurício Rouvel Nunes^{ix}, Juliana Trevisan da Rocha^x, Jorge Alberto Bianchi Telles^{xi}, André Campos da Cunha^{xii}, Paulo Ricardo Gazzola Zen^{xiii}, Rafael Fabiano Machado RosaXIV

Postaraduate Program in Pathology, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil

Undergraduate Student, Department of Clinical Medicine, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1344-7253

"Undergraduate Student, Department of Clinical Medicine, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre

http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7186-7478

"Undergraduate Student, Department of Clinical Medicine, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9041-3746

™MD. Physician, Resident Doctor of Anesthesiology, Universidade de São

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7252-4936

VMD. Physician, Resident Doctor of Trauma Surgery, Hospital de Pronto corro de Porto Alegre (HPS), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0009-0006-4277-6706

VBSc. Biomedical, Clinical Research Data Manager, Santa Casa de ericórdia de Porto Alegre (SCMPA), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0152-874X

VIIMD. Physician, Resident Doctor of Internal Medicine, Universidade Federal Eiências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9562-8946 WIBSc. Nurse. Doctoral Student, Postgraduate Program in Pathology Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto

gre (RS), Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4633-0067

"BSc. Nurse, Doctoral Student, Postgraduate Program in Pathology Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto legre (RS), Brazil

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4975-6568

*PhD. Biomedical, Professor, Discipline of Histology and Embryology, Department of Basic Health Sciences, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil.

https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2219-2151

xiMSc. Fetologist and Obstetrician, Department of Fetal Medicine, Hospital Materno Infantil Presidente Vargas (HMIPV), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8108-9638

XIIMD. Obstetrician, Department of Fetal Medicine, Hospital Materno Infantil https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9893-3348

xIIPhD. Clinical Geneticist and Pediatrician, Professor, Departments of Clinical Medicine and Clinical Genetics, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil.

D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7628-4877

XIVPhD, Clinical Geneticist, Professor, Departments of Clinical Medicine and Clinical Genetics, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto are (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre (RS), Brazil https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1317-642X

KEYWORDS (MeSH terms):

Alcohol Drinking. Pregnancy. Tobacco Smoking Risk factors.

Pregnancy Outcome.

AUTHOR KEYWORDS:

Alcohol Intake. Family Planning. Gestation.

ARSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Some maternal characteristics are related to alcohol intake during pregnancy, which irreversibly compromises the maternal-fetal binomial integrity.

OBJECTIVES: To identify the frequency, impact, and factors associated with alcohol consumption during pregnancy.

DESIGN AND SETTING: A cross-sectional study was performed at the Hospital Materno Infantil Presidente Vargas (HMIPV) in Porto Alegre/RS between March and December 2016.

METHODS: A structured guestionnaire was administered along with a medical records review. They refer to the maternal sociodemographic and gestational status, alcohol consumption patterns, and characteristics of the fetus/newborn. In the statistical analysis, P values < 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS: The frequency of alcohol intake was 37.3%; this was characterized by the consumption of fermented beverages (89.3%), especially during the first trimester (79.6%). Risky consumption (high and/ or early) occurred for 30.2% of participants. Risk factors associated with maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy were tobacco use (P < 0.001) and abortion attempt (P = 0.023). Living with a partner (P = 0.002) and planning pregnancy (P = 0.009) were protective factors. Risky consumption was related to all of the aforementioned variables as well as threatened abortion (P = 0.023)

CONCLUSIONS: Alcohol intake during pregnancy is common and affects nearly one-third of pregnant women. Knowledge of the population at risk and protective factors is essential for the development of campaigns that seek to reduce consumption and, therefore, its consequences for the mother and fetus.

INTRODUCTION

Health problems related to alcohol consumption are usually associated with males. However, changes in the social role of women have led to a decrease in this difference.1 Over time, a progressive rise in the use and abuse of alcohol by women has been observed,² even at childbearing age, which has led to an increasing number of obstetric and neonatal complications.³ According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the global prevalence of alcohol intake is 9.8%.4 In Brazil, this reality is even more concerning, with frequencies ranging from 6% to 60%.⁵⁻⁷

Some maternal characteristics are associated with an increased frequency and amount of alcohol intake during pregnancy. These include lifestyle, psychological factors, alcohol consumption patterns, concomitant drug use, social vulnerability, and aspects related to family structure, such as single motherhood.8 The lack of prenatal care and pregnancy planning also appears to be important predisposing factors.^{9,10}

Alcohol can cause injuries in one in every 100 live births exposed during pregnancy,11 and there is no safe consumption amount. Therefore, abstinence is the best and safest conduct to be followed.¹² Alcohol directly acts on fetal and maternal-fetal tissue and, indirectly, on nutrients and oxygen supply, which can result in devastating and irreversible effects on the embryo/fetus.¹³ Consequently, the spectrum of fetal consequences may be wider, ranging from only cognitive and behavioral disorders to fetal alcohol syndrome. 14,15

Thus, these effects and consequences highlight the importance of early detection and profiling of women at risk of alcohol use during pregnancy. This will enable the development of more effective management and follow-up approaches.

OBJECTIVE

Considering the scarcity of Brazilian epidemiological studies related to this issue, our aim was to identify the impact and factors associated with alcohol intake in pregnant women who gave birth at a reference hospital in southern Brazil.

METHODS

This was a prospective cross-sectional study carried out at the Hospital Materno Infantil Presidente Vargas (HMIPV) that included pregnant and postpartum women and their respective newborns who utilized the hospital's obstetrics service at birth from March to December 2016. All patients were users of the Unified Health System (in Portuguese, Sistema Único de Saúde – SUS), which is the current public health system in Brazil. The HMIPV is located at Porto Alegre and is a reference hospital for maternal and child care in the State of Rio Grande do Sul (RS).

Trained members conducted a pilot study and applied the clinical protocol. Data were collected through direct interviews with puerperal women during rooming in shortly after delivery. The medical records of the mothers and their respective newborns were also reviewed. The data collected included sociodemographic and gestational history findings, fetuse/newborns characteristics, and the use of drugs, including alcohol, during pregnancy. The sample comprised 570 women who were divided into two groups: 1) those who did and 2) did not consume alcohol during pregnancy.

Maternal age was stratified into women aged 20 years or younger, those 21–34 years, and those 35 years or older. ¹⁶ Origin was classified by whether the patient lived in Porto Alegre, metropolitan region, or countryside town of the state. Puerperal women's education was classified as incomplete elementary education, complete elementary education, and complete secondary education to higher education. ¹⁷ The number of residents per house into two groups: from one to five and from six to 14 individuals. ¹⁸ Family income was categorized into one minimum wage (BRL 880.00 at the moment of the study) or more per household. Women were divided into primiparous or multiparous groups according to their number of pregnancies. ¹⁹ Prenatal care was divided by trimester of beginning (in first, second, or third)²⁰ and by consultations number (fewer than six or six or more). ²¹

Women were asked about quarter(s) of alcohol consumption; alcohol amount consumed and intensity, frequency, and type of drink. All beverage measurements were converted and presented according to international (10 g of pure alcohol per serving). This corresponds to approximately 350 mL of beer, 150 mL of wine, or 45 mL of hard liquor.²

Alcohol consumption amount was categorized as low (1–20 g/day), moderate (21–40 g/day) or high (\geq 41 g/day).²³ Binge drinking episodes and heavy episodic drinking were assessed according

to WHO guidelines and defined as the consumption of 60 g or more of pure alcohol, or about four servings or more on at least one occasion.²² Drink types were questioned and classified into fermented (beer, sparkling wine, and wine) and distillates (whiskey, vodka, "cachaça," "caipirinha," and tequila). Consumption frequency was classified as monthly or less, two to four times a month, two to three times a week, or four or more times a week. Women who answered affirmatively to at least one of the following variables were categorized as engaging in risky consumption: period of use in the first trimester, consumption frequency of four or more times a week, high amount consumption (≥ 41 g/day), or positive for binge drinking episodes.²⁴

Prematurity was defined as gestational age at birth less than 37 weeks²⁵ and low birth weight as below 2,500 grams.²⁶ For Apgar scores, values greater or less than 7 were considered at the first and fifth minutes of life.²⁷ When fetal malformations were present, they were classified as isolated or multiple and specified according to the medical records description. In assessing microcephaly, the measurement of the newborns head circumference was considered both for gestational age at birth (absolute) and after correction, considering baby's length (relative or true).²⁸

The results for qualitative variables are presented as frequencies and percentages, and the quantitative variables are shown as averages and standard deviations. Normality was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test and histogram inspection. Sociodemographic and gestational factors associated with alcohol consumption were evaluated using a Poisson regression analysis with robust variance adjustment. Prevalence ratio (PR) measurements are presented with a 95% confidence interval. For multivariate analysis, variables with P < 0.20 in the Wald test were selected. To evaluate the characteristics of fetuses and live births, Student's t-test and chisquare tests were applied, as was Fisher's exact test when appropriate. The results were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05. The analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, United States, Release 22.0, 2013).

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of HMIPV on October 10, 2017 (CAAE 09909712.3.1001.5329), and the Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA) on January 12, 2018 (CAAE 9909712.3.3001.5345). The study was only performed after informed consent assignment.

RESULTS

The total sample consisted of 570 women, ages ranging from 12 to 45 years (mean 25.1, SD \pm 7.1). Gestation was recognized at an average of 11.6 weeks. Paternal ages ranged from 15 to 60 years (mean 28.5, SD \pm 8.5). The average number of maternal pregnancies was 2.32 (range 1–12).

Alcohol consumption was reported by 213 women (37.3%). Age was similar between women who consume and did not consume

alcohol (mean age of 24.9 [SD \pm 6.8] and 25.2 years [SD \pm 7.2] respectively; P = 0.5887). Most women who drank alcohol during pregnancy consumed low amounts (64.2%; moderate: 16.9%; high: 18.9%). However, approximately one in every four women (24.9%) reported binge drinking episodes. Fermented beverages were the main type of beverage consumed (89.3%), with beer representing the most common (82.1%), followed by vodka (17%) and wine (9.2%). Almost 13% of women drank both fermented and distilled beverages during pregnancy. Most drank monthly or less (63.9%; two to four times a month: 27.4%; two to three times a week: 6.7%; and four or more times a week: 1.9%). The first trimester was the most commonly reported period of alcohol use (79.6%), with a decreasing trend in the other trimesters (second trimester: 48.3%; third trimester: 33.6%). Continuous use throughout pregnancy was reported by 21.8% of women.

Table 1 presents the consumption of alcoholic beverages during pregnancy according to maternal sociodemographic characteristics. There was a significant association between presence of a partner and lower alcohol consumption (PR = 0.66; 95%CI: 0.53-0.83; P < 0.001). However, beverage consumption was significant in two situations: when the pregnancy was unplanned (41.9%; PR = 0.72; 95%CI: 0.57-0.91; P = 0.006) and when some abortive intervention was carried out (71.4%; PR = 1.93; 95%CI: 1.20-3.13; P = 0.007; **Table 2**).

Drinking during pregnancy was associated with both concomitant use of illicit (PR = 2.20; 95%CI: 1.72-2.80; P < 0.001) and licit drugs (tobacco; PR = 1.96; 95%CI: 1.61-2.39; P < 0.001) as well as use during the first trimester of pregnancy (P < 0.001). Alcohol intake during gestation occurred in 78.3% of women who had used illicit drugs and 59.6% of those who smoked. Approximately three out of four marijuana users had consumed alcohol. Only eight women reported using cocaine (3.8%), and three crack (1.4%). All of them reported using alcohol simultaneously (Table 3).

None fetal or newborn characteristic was associated with consumption of alcoholic beverages by women. However, 'multiple" malformations were most predominant in the group of fetuses exposed to alcohol (46.2%; Table 4).

Multivariate analysis showed that trying to terminate the pregnancy increased the chance of alcohol consumption by 63% (PR = 1.63; 95%CI: 1.04-2.54; P = 0.032), while smoking increased it by 90% (PR = 1.90; 95%CI: 1.55-2.32; P < 0.0001; **Table 5**). However, the presence of protective factors is noteworthy. The likelihood of alcohol consumption for a pregnant woman with a partner was 23% lower than that for women with no partner (PR = 0.77; 95%CI: 0.61-0.97; P = 0.024). In addition, planning the pregnancy reduced the chance of alcohol consumption by one quarter (PR = 0.75; 95%CI: 0.59-0.94; P = 0.013; **Table 5**).

Risky alcohol consumption was observed in 171 women (30.2%) and was associated with attempt to terminate the pregnancy (PR = 1.92; 95%CI: 1.17-3.13; P = 0.009), threat of abortion (PR = 1.45;95%CI: 1.05-1.99; P = 0.023), and tobacco use

Table 1. Consumption of alcoholic beverages according to maternal sociodemographic characteristics

Variables		Total* (n = 570)	Alcohol use (n = 213)	%	P value	PR	95%CI	
		n	n					
	≤ 20 years	183	68	37.2		1		
Age group	21 to 34 years	319	123	38.6	0.757	1.04	0.82	1.31
	≥ 35 years	68	22	32.4	0.489	0.87	0.59	1.29
	Porto Alegre	391	155	39.6		1		
Origin	Metropolitan area	151	49	32.5	0.132	0.82	0.63	1.06
	Countryside town	28	9	32.1	0.456	0.81	0.47	1.41
Employed (n = 568)*	Yes	228	90	39.5		1		
	No	340	123	36.2	0.424	0.92	0.74	1.14
	Incomplete elementary education	200	79	39.5		1		
Education	Complete elementary education	188	69	36.7	0.571	0.93	0.72	1.20
	Complete secondary education	178	62	34.8	0.351	0.88	0.68	1.15
Dunner of moute or	No	100	52	52.0		1		
Presence of partner	Yes	470	161	34.3	0.000	0.66	0.53	0.83
Family income (n = 550)*	Less than R\$ 880	112	41	36.6		1		
	> R\$ 880	438	164	37.4	0.871	1.02	0.78	1.34
Number of residents per	< 5 individuals	315	110	34.9		1		
house $(n = 566)^*$	≥ 5 individuals	251	101	40.2	0.193	1.15	0.93	1.43

Quantitative variables are presented as means and standard deviations in the text; *Number of valid answers; PR = Crude prevalence ratio; CI = Confidence interval.

(PR = 2.10; 95%CI: 1.66-2.65; P < 0.0001). The presence of a partner (PR = 0.66; 95%CI: 0.51-0.86; P = 0.002) and planned pregnancy (PR = 0.74; 95%CI: 0.56-0.97; P = 0.027) were protective factors against risky alcohol consumption (**Table 6**).

DISCUSSION

This study identified a high frequency of alcohol consumption in pregnant women (37.3%), similar to other Brazilian studies, such

as those that took place in São Paulo (33.3%),⁶ Rio de Janeiro (40.6%),²⁸ and Teresina (32.4%).²⁹ These frequencies are higher than those reported in developed countries (10.2%).³⁰ In addition to methodological and sampling differences, some factors, such as effectiveness of public health policies and cultural disparities, may have contributed to such inequalities.²⁶ In general, alcohol consumption in developed countries is seen more negatively than in Latin America.³¹

Table 2. Consumption of alcoholic beverages according to gestational characteristics

		Total*	Alcohol use					
Variables		(n = 570)	(n = 213)	%	P value	PR	95	%CI
		n	n					
Planned pregnancy	No	351	147	41.9		1		
riaimed pregnancy	Yes	219	66	30.1	0.006	0.72	0.57	0.91
Number of acctations	Multiparous	340	128	37.6		1		
Number of gestations	Primiparous	230	85	37.0	0.867	0.98	0.79	1.22
Associated about a	No	563	208	36.9		1		
Attempted abortion	Yes	7	5	71.4	0.007	1.93	1.20	3.13
Prenatal care	No	12	6	50.0		1		
Prenatal Care	Yes	558	207	37.1	0.310	0.74	0.42	1.32
No make a mark and the strength of the strengt	> = 6 consultations	430	157	36.5		1		
Number of consultations (n = 555)*	< 6 consultations	125	50	40.0	0.471	1.10	0.85	1.40
	1	375	132	35.2		1		
Beginning of prenatal care $(n = 558)$ *	2	166	71	42.8	0.087	1.22	0.97	1.52
	3	17	4	23.5	0.363	0.67	0.28	1.59
	No	518	189	36.5		1		
ZZ	Yes	51	24	47.1	0.110	1.29	0.94	1.76

^{*}Number of valid answers; PR = Crude prevalence ratio; CI = Confidence interval.

Table 3. Consumption of alcoholic beverages according to concomitant use of drugs during pregnancy

Variables		Total* (n=570)	Alcohol use (n=213)	%	P value	PR	95%CI	
		n	n					
Han of illinit during during programme	No	547	195	35.6		1		
Use of illicit drugs during pregnancy	Yes	23	18	78.3	0.000	2.20	1.72	2.80
Use of illicit drugs during	No	548	196	35.8		1		
1st trimester of pregnancy	Yes	22	17	77.3	0.000	2.16	1.68	2.78
Tobacco during pregnancy	No	434	132	30.4		1		
robacco during pregnancy	Yes	136	81	59.6	0.000	1.96	1.61	2.39
Tobacco 1st trimester	No	437	134	30.7		1		
TODACCO 1St trimester	Yes	133	79	59.4	0.000	1.94	1.59	2.36
Marijuana	No	550	198	36.0		1		
Marijuana	Yes	20	15	75.0	0.000	2.08	1.58	2.75
Marijuana 1st trimostor (n = E60)*	No	550	198	36.0		1		
Marijuana 1st trimester (n = 569)*	Yes	19	14	73.7	0.000	2.05	1.53	2.74
Cocaine	No	562	205	36.5		1		
Cocame	Yes	8	8	100	0.000	2.74	2.46	3.06
Cossing 1st trimostor (n = 560)*	No	562	205	36.5		1		
Cocaine 1st trimester (n = 569)*	Yes	7	7	100	0.000	2.74	2.46	3.06
Crack	No	567	210	37.0		1		
Clack	Yes	3	3	100	0.000	2.70	2.43	3.01
Crack 1st trimostor (n = 560)*	No	567	210	37.0		1		
Crack 1st trimester (n = 569)*	Yes	2	2	100	0.000	2.70	2.43	3.01

^{*}Number of valid answers; PR = Crude prevalence ratio; CI = Confidence interval.

Table 4. Fetus or newborn characteristics according to maternal consumption of alcoholic beverages during pregnancy

Variables		Totala	Alcohol use	%	P value	PR	959	95%CI	
		n*	n*						
Malformation	No	550	204	37.1					
	Yes	33	13	39.4	0.787	1.06	0.69	1.64	
Type of Malformation	Multiple	9	6	66.7		1			
	Isolated	24	7	29.2	0.037	0.44	0.20	0.95	
Thoracic	No	580	214	36.9		1			
	Yes	3	3	100.0	0.000	2.71	2.44	3.01	
Skeletal	No	580	215	37.1		1			
Skeletai	Yes	3	2	66.7	0.154	1.80	0.80	4.03	
Gastrointestinal	No	580	215	37.1		1			
Gastrointestinai	Yes	3	2	66.7	0.154	1.80	0.80	4.03	
Urinary tract	No	572	212	37.1		1			
Officery tract	Yes	11	5	45.5	0.542	1.23	0.64	2.36	
Extremities	No	578	215	37.2		1			
extremities	Yes	5	2	40.0	0.895	1.08	0.37	3.16	
Abdomen	No	580	216	37.2		1			
Abdomen	Yes	3	1	33.3	0.892	0.90	0.18	4.45	
Central nervous system	No	573	215	37.5		1			
Central hervous system	Yes	10	2	20.0	0.322	0.53	0.15	1.85	
Other	No	578	215	37.2		1			
Other	Yes	5	2	40.0	0.895	1.08	0.37	3.16	
Dua ina ada suida s	>=37	502	186	37.1		1			
Prematurity	<37	73	28	38.4	0.828	1.04	0.76	1.41	
	>=2,500g	506	187	37.0		1			
Low birth weight	<2,500g	69	27	39.1	0.723	1.06	0.77	1.45	
AL 1 (400)	No	473	175	37.0		1			
Absolute microcephaly (n = 489) ^a	Yes	16	7	43.8	0.563	1.18	0.67	2.09	
D.I.v	No	482	179	37.1		1			
Relative microcephaly * (n = 489) ^a	Yes	42	16	38.1	0.902	1.03	0.68	1.54	
	>=7	518	185	35.7		1			
Apgar 1 < 7* (n = 569) ^a	< 7	51	25	49.0	0.040	1.37	1.01	1.86	
	>=7	562	206	36.7		1			
Apgar 5 < 7* (n = 569) ^a	< 7	7	4	57.1	0.181	1.56	0.81	2.99	

^{*}Relative microcephaly corrected for the length of the baby; Apgar 1<7/Apgar 5<7 = values greater or less than 7 in the first and fifth minutes of life; a. Number of fetuses/neonates, considering deaths and valid responses; PR = Crude prevalence ratio; CI = Confidence interval.

Table 5. Multiple regression analysis of factors associated with alcohol consumption during pregnancy

Variables	P value	PR	959	%CI
Marital status = With partner	0.024	0.77	0.61	0.97
Planned pregnancy = Yes	0.013	0.75	0.59	0.94
Attempted abortion = Yes	0.032	1.63	1.04	2.54
Smoking = Yes	0.000	1.90	1.55	2.32

Valid variables with P < 0.20 in the Wald test were included in the analysis: PR = Adjusted prevalence ratio; CI = Confidence interval.

The results obtained in our study about the use of alcohol in the first trimester of pregnancy and the occurrence of binge drinking episodes among pregnant women were cause for concern. They were similar to those reported by other authors^{9,10} and highlight the progressive tendency of the female population to consume more alcohol at abusive levels.³² Early consumption of alcohol within the first trimester has been associated with a 12-fold greater chance

Table 6. Multiple regression analysis of factors associated with risky consumption during pregnancy

Variables	P value	PR	959	%CI
Marital status = with partner	0.002	0.66	0.51	0.86
Planned pregnancy = Yes	0.027	0.74	0.56	0.97
Attempted abortion = Yes	0.009	1.92	1.17	3.13
Threat of abortion = Yes	0.023	1.45	1.05	1.99
Smoking = Yes	0.000	2.10	1.66	2.65

Valid variables with P < 0.20 in the Wald test were included in the analysis; Risk consumption considered women who answered affirmatively to at least one of the variables: quantity (high > = 41g/day), frequency (4 or > week), EBP (positive), and consumption in the first trimester; PR = Adjusted prevalence ratio; CI = Confidence interval.

of fetal involvement. Moreover, excessive levels of alcohol intake have increased the risk for worse neonatal outcomes.³³

Alcohol consumption most often occurred in an occasional way and in low quantities; this fact reflects the underestimation

of the harmful effects even for small amounts.¹¹ In addition, the drinks mainly consisted of fermented beverages, especially beer and derivatives, which may also demonstrate an underestimation of the potential risk of these beverages compared to distilled drinks.³⁴

The absence of a partner has been shown to be closely related to alcohol consumption by women during pregnancy. ^{8,9,13} According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), alcohol consumption is almost twice as common among pregnant women with no partner. In addition, the frequency of excessive consumption among these women is significantly higher. ³⁵ This finding is often related to other risk factors for alcohol consumption, such as unplanned pregnancies, as well as low socioeconomic status, with the mothers usually being the main or only providers of income. ³⁶ Notably, in the present study, the presence of a partner was a protective factor for alcohol consumption, which may be related to greater financial and emotional stability as well as family support.

Another protective factor identified in this study was pregnancy planning. There are reports in literature of substantially less alcohol consumption by women during pregnancy when there is intention and planning to become pregnant. 9,37 Failure to plan and, consequently, delay to recognize the pregnancy, can lead many women to engage in harmful behaviors, including the consumption of alcoholic beverages. 10 This fact helps to clarify the high frequency of alcohol intake (79.6%) during the first trimester observed in our study. In addition, women tend to be more careful about what they consume when pregnancy is planned, as they are more aware of possible risks for the fetus due to alcohol consumption. 38

The use of pregnancy termination methods was associated with risky alcohol intake in our sample. This association is well described in the literature. 8.39 This may be linked to pregnancy rejection because it is unwanted.

Threatened abortion was the only variable that showed an exclusive association with at-risk alcohol consumption in this study. According to the literature, 40 women who consume more than five drinks per week have a significantly greater chance of miscarriage. This problem occurs because alcohol is one of the main substances responsible for childbirth-related problems. 41

As previously described in the literature, 5,15,41 we found that smoking was an important factor associated with risky alcohol consumption. The simultaneous use of alcohol and tobacco can be explained by the legality and wide availability of these substances as well as by the common maternal risk factors for their use during pregnancy, which are usually related to the vulnerability presented by these women. 42 In addition, studies have identified neurotransmitters and nicotine receptors that interact with both substances and mediate effects involved not only in their sensitivity but also in dependence. 43

The most discussed and described fetal malformations associated with alcohol consumption belong to the spectrum of findings

observed in individuals with FAS.¹⁴ However, some studies point to possible associations between maternal alcohol consumption and the occurrence of other anomalies.⁴³ In the present study, no major fetal/neonatal malformation was associated with the consumption of this substance. Alcohol can cross the placental barrier and directly interfere with embryonic and fetal development. However, there is no single mechanism that can explain all of the harmful effects of alcohol on the fetus or the precise alcohol amount that can cause malformations.⁹

Other factors have been associated with alcohol consumption during pregnancy, such as low education and socioeconomic level, advanced maternal age, and lack of prenatal care.^{8,9,13} However, we did not find significant relationships among them in the present study.

Despite the care we took in terms of both sample size and data collection and analysis, we cannot rule out possible limitations such as memory bias. Previous literature indicates that parents who have children with malformations tend to remember more details about their previous history, such as the use of substances during pregnancy.⁴⁴

CONCLUSION

Therefore, alcohol intake during pregnancy is common at our hospital. There is also high use during the first trimester as well as preferential consumption of fermented products and in abusive amounts, which represents important cause for concern. This risk of alcohol intake applies mainly to women who smoke, attempt pregnancy termination, or have a threat of miscarriage. However, there are protective factors such as the presence of a partner and pregnancy planning. Considering the unique characteristics of this vulnerable population, these findings may be important in the development of more effective campaigns for the avoidance of alcohol consumption by women during gestation.

Moreover, healthcare professionals should be prepared to identify efficient strategies during prenatal care, given the apparent lack of awareness in a considerable number of situations involving this issue in routine consultations.

REFERENCES

- Marangoni SR, Oliveira ML. Fatores desencadeantes do uso de drogas de abuso em mulheres. Texto Context Enferm. 2013;22(3):662-70. https:// doi.org/10.1590/S0104-07072013000300012.
- De Andrade AG. Álcool e Saúde dos Brasileiros. 1st ed. Vol. 1. São Paulo: CISA; 2021. Available from: https://cisa.org.br/images/upload/ Panorama_Alcool_Saude_CISA2021.pdf. Accessed in 2023 (May 21).
- Santana RA, Almeida LF, Monteiro DL. Síndrome alcoólica fetal–revisão sistematizada. Rev HUPE. 2014;13(3):61-6. https://doi.org/10.12957/ rhupe.2014.12128.

- 4. World Health Organization. Guidelines for the identification and management of substance use and substance use disorders in pregnancy. Geneva: WHO, 2014. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241548731. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- Rocha PC, Britto e Alves MT, Chagas DC, et al. Prevalence of illicit drug use and associated factors during pregnancy in the BRISA cohort. Cad Saude Publica. 2016;32(1):S0102-311X2016000100707. PMID: 26886368; https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00192714.
- Sbrana M, Grandi C, Brazan M, et al. Alcohol consumption during pregnancy and perinatal results: a cohort study. Sao Paulo Med J. 2016;134(2):146-52. PMID: 27007799; https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-3180.2015.02040211.
- França VT, Ferrari L, Ebert JP, et al. Avaliação do uso de drogas por gestantes atendidas em hospital de ensino do interior do Rio Grande do Sul. Rev Epidemiol Control Infec. 2016;6(2):68-73. https://doi. org/10.17058/reci.v6i2.6976.
- 8. Montag AC. Fetal alcohol-spectrum disorders: identifying at-risk mothers. Int J Womens Health. 2016;8:311-23. PMID: 27499649; https://doi.org/10.2147/ijwh.s85403.
- Mesquita MA, Segre CA. Freqüência dos efeitos do álcool no feto e padrão de consumo de bebidas alcoólicas pelas gestantes de maternidade pública da cidade de São Paulo. J Human Growth Developm. 2009;19(1):63-77. https://doi.org/10.7322/jhgd.19903.
- Dejong K, Olyaei A, Lo JO. Alcohol use in pregnancy. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2019;62(1):142-55. PMID: 30575614; https://doi.org/10.1097/ grf.0000000000000414.
- Carson G, Cox LV, Crane J, et al. Alcohol use and pregnancy consensus clinical guidelines. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2010;32(8 Suppl 3):S1-31. PMID: 21172102; https://doi.org/10.1016/s1701-2163(16)34633-3.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion no. 496: At-risk drinking and alcohol dependence: obstetric and gynecologic implications. Obstet Gynecol. 2011;118(2 Pt 1):383-8. PMID: 21775870; https://doi.org/10.1097/aog.0b013e31822c9906.
- Tan CH, Denny CH, Cheal NE, Sniezek JE, Kanny D. Alcohol use and binge drinking among women of childbearing age - United States, 2011-2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2015;64(37):1042-6. PMID: 26401713; https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6437a3.
- Suttie M, Foroud T, Wetherill L, et al. Facial dysmorphism across the fetal alcohol spectrum. Pediatrics. 2013;131(3):e779-88. PMID: 23439907; https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-1371.
- Segre CAM, coord. Efeitos do álcool na gestante, no feto e no recémnascido. São Paulo: Sociedade de Pediatria de São Paulo; 2010. Available from: https://www.spsp.org.br/downloads/AlcoolSAF2.pdf. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- Santos GH, Martins MG, Sousa MS, Batalha SJ. Impact of maternal age on perinatal outcomes and mode of delivery. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. 2009;31(7):326-34. PMID: 19838577; https://doi.org/10.1590/ S0100-72032009000700002.

- Greco SMSS, coord. Empreendedorismo no Brasil: 2016. Curitiba: IBQP;
 2017. Available from: https://ibqp.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/
 AF-GEM-Nacional-BAIXA.pdf. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- Fonseca VM, Sichieri R, Basilio L, Ribeiro LVC. Consumo de folato em gestantes de um hospital público do Rio de Janeiro. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2003;6(4):319-27. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-790X2003000400006.
- Linhares JJ, Macêdo NM, Arruda GM, et al. Factors associated with mode of delivery in women with pre-eclampsia. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. 2014;36(6):259-63. PMID: 25099465; https://doi.org/10.1590/ s0100-720320140004812.
- Linhares AO, Cesar JA. Suplementação com ácido fólico entre gestantes no extremo Sul do Brasil: prevalência e fatores associados. Ciên Saúde Colet. 2017;22(2):535-42. https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232017222.06302016.
- 21. Brasil. Humanização do parto humanização no pré-natal e nascimento. Brasília-DF: Ministério da Saúde; 2002. Available from: http://bvsms. saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/parto.pdf. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- 22. World Health Organization. Self-help strategies for cutting down or stopping substance use: a guide. Geneva: WHO; 2010. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241599405. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- World Health Organization, Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, Monteiro MG. AUDIT: The alcohol use disorders identification test: Guidelines for use in primary health care. Geneva: WHO; 2001. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/67205. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- 24. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations for care of the preterm or low birth weight infant. Geneva: WHO; 2022. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240058262. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- 25. World Health Organization. Global nutrition targets 2025: low birth weight policy brief. Geneva: WHO; 2014. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-NMH-NHD-14.5. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- 26. Brasil. Atenção à saúde do recém-nascido: guia para os profissionais de saúde. Brasília-DF: Ministério da Saúde; 2014. Available from: https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/atencao_saude_recem_nascido_v1.pdf. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- Margotto PR. Intrauterine growth curves: study of 4413 single live births of normal pregnancies. J Pediatr. 1995;71(1):11-21. PMID: 14689030; https://doi.org/10.2223/jped.696.
- Moraes CL, Reichenheim ME. Screening for alcohol use by pregnant women of public health care in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Rev Saude Publica. 2007;41(5):695-703. PMID: 17923889; https://doi.org/10.1590/s0034-89102007000500002.
- Veloso LU, de Souza Monteiro CF. Prevalence and factors associated with alcohol use among pregnant adolescents. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2013;21(1):433-41. PMID: 23546329; https://doi.org/10.1590/s0104-11692013000100020.

- Laranjeira R, Pinsky I, Sanches M, Zaleski M, Caetano R. Alcohol use patterns among Brazilian adults. Braz J Psychiatry. 2010;32(3):231-41.
 PMID: 19918673; https://doi.org/10.1590/s1516-44462009005000012.
- 31. Brasil. Conhecendo os efeitos do uso de drogas na gestação e as consequências para os bebês. Brasília-DF: Ministério da Cidadania; 2021. Available from: https://www.gov.br/mds/pt-br/pt-br/noticias-econteudos/desenvolvimento-social/noticias-desenvolvimento-social/ministerio-da-cidadania-lanca-cartilha-sobre-efeitos-e-consequencias-do-uso-de-drogas-na-gestacao/30042021_cartilha_gestantes.pdf. Accessed in 2023 (Jun. 21).
- May PA, Blankenship J, Marais AS, et al. Maternal alcohol consumption producing fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD): quantity, frequency, and timing of drinking. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2013;133(2):502-12.
 PMID: 23932841; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.07.013.
- Meucci RD, Saavedra JS, Silva ES, et al. Alcohol intake during pregnancy among parturients in southern Brazil. Rev Bras Saude Mater Infant. 2017;17(4):653-61. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-93042017000400003.
- Denny CH, Acero CS, Naimi TS, Kim SY. Consumption of alcohol beverages and binge drinking among pregnant women aged 18-44 years - United States, 2015-2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019;68(16):365-8. PMID: 31022164; https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr. mm6816a1.
- 35. Oliveira TR, Simões SMF. O consumo de bebida alcóolica pelas gestantes: um estudo exploratório. Esc Anna Nery. 2007;11(4):632-8. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1414-81452007000400012.
- O'Keeffe LM, Kearney PM, McCarthy FP, et al. Prevalence and predictors of alcohol use during pregnancy: findings from international multicentre cohort studies. BMJ Open. 2015;5(7):e006323. PMID: 26152324; https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006323.
- Baptista FH, Rocha KBB, Martinelli JL, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with alcohol consumption during pregnancy. Rev Bras Saude Mater Infant. 2017;17(2):271-9. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-93042017000200004.
- 38. Roberts SCM. The presence and consequences of abortion aversion in scientific research related to alcohol use during pregnancy. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(16):2888. PMID: 31412544; https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16162888.
- 39. Kesmodel U, Wisborg K, Olsen SF, Henriksen TB, Secher NJ. Moderate alcohol intake during pregnancy and the risk of stillbirth and death in the first year of life. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;155(4):305-12. PMID: 11836194; https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/155.4.305.
- Roberts SC, Pies C. Complex calculations: how drug use during pregnancy becomes a barrier to prenatal care. Matern Child Health J. 2011;15(3):333-41. PMID: 20232126; https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-010-0594-7.
- 41. Guimarães VA, Fernandes KS, Lucchese R, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with alcohol use during pregnancy in a maternity hospital in Goiás, Central Brazil. Cien Saude Colet. 2018;23(10):3413-20. PMID: 30365860; https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-812320182310.24582016.

- 42. Falk DE, Yi HY, Hiller-Sturmhöfel S. An epidemiologic analysis of cooccurring alcohol and tobacco use and disorders: findings from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Alcohol Res Health. 2006;29(3):162-71. PMID: 17373404.
- 43. Kurita H, Motoki N, Inaba Y, et al. Maternal alcohol consumption and risk of offspring with congenital malformation: the Japan Environment and Children's Study. Pediatr Res. 2021;90(2):479-86. PMID: 33230193; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-020-01274-9.
- 44. Coughlin SS. Recall bias in epidemiologic studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 1990;43(1):87-91. PMID: 2319285. https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356(90)90060-3.

Authors' contributions: Zottis LFF: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Souza MA: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Hartmann JK: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Gama TKK: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Rizental LB: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Maciel AM: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writingoriginal draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Gresele M: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Rosa EB: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal),

software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Nunes MR: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), funding acquisition (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writingoriginal draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Rocha JT: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), funding acquisition (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Telles JAB: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), funding acquisition (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Cunha AC: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), funding acquisition (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writingoriginal draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal); Zen PRG conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), funding acquisition (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writingreview and editing (equal); and Rosa RFM: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), funding acquisition (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), resources (equal), software (equal), supervision (equal), validation (equal), visualization (equal), writing-original draft (equal), and writing-review and editing (equal). All authors contributed substantially to the conception and design, data collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the article; critical review of the intellectual content, and final approval of the submitted version.

R. Sarmento Leite, 245/403 Centro — Porto Alegre (RS) — Brasil CEP 90050-170 Tel. (+55 51) 3303-8771

E-mail: mrouvelnunes@gmail.com

Editors responsible for the evaluation process:

Paulo Manuel Pêgo-Fernandes, MD, PhD

Sources of funding: None Conflicts of interest: None

Date of first submission: May 27, 2023

Last received: January 09, 2024 Accepted: February 08, 2024

Address for correspondence:

Maurício Rouvel Nunes

Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre

